

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/068,940	SHINBATA, HIROYUKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sath V. Perungavoor	2624	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowance

(1) Sath V. Perungavoor.

(3) _____

(2) Mark A. Williamson (Reg. No. 33,628).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested claim language that would place the application in condition for allowance. Examiner requested the applicant's representative to authorize an Examiner's amendment. Applicant's representative agreed.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



BHAVESH M. MENTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)